

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,907	09/26/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14829US02	9187	
23446 MCANDREW	7590 02/25/200 'S HELD & MALLOY.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	.60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2431		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/672,907	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher A. Revak	2431		

	Christopher A. Revak	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed a	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the control of the control		be entered and an e	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below of appended.		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	(Christopher A. Beyek)		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant further argues that the teachings of Saito fail to disclose "wherein said registration information comprises a device serial ID number associated with said location where the communication device is registered." The applicant further alignment beth "Saito merely discloses that the device ID is registered in a database". The examiner disagrees, Saito discloses of acquiring a home address as an IP address that the device ID is registered in a database. The examiner disagrees, Saito discloses of acquiring a home address as an IP address. Saito discloses of acquiring a home address as an IP address is registered in the database, see column 14, lines 49-53. An IP address is interpreted as a location on the network since it is the mobile terminal that is registered at specific location and communications are directed to that particular address. For example, on page 15 of the applicant specification, paragraph 55 indicates that the location is "the 1st home" which would correspond to the an IP address. Computers are known to communication with one another through the use of IP addresses.

The applicant argues that nothing in the cited references disclose of "entering the device serial ID". The examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion, it is inherent that the device ID is entered since it is being used for comparison to determine if it is registered at a particular location.